# Fast Algorithms for MAP Decoding of VLC-coded Markov Sequences over Noisy Channels

# Xiaolin Wu

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering



McMaster University

Page 2

# Channel Model

- error-and-erasure memoryless channel (EEC) of inversion and erasure errors.
- input  $\mathbf{b} = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_k \in \{0, 1\}^k$ .
- output  $\mathbf{b}' = b'_1 b'_2 \cdots b'_k \in \{0, 1, \$\}^k$
- probability of receiving  $\mathbf{b}'$  when  $\mathbf{b}$  is sent:

$$P_e(\mathbf{b}'|\mathbf{b}) = \prod_{i=1}^k P_e(b_i'|b_i).$$
(1)

This probability can be computed given the channel transition matrix.

# Joint Source-Channel Decoding

In practice, due to the constraint of system complexity, the source encoder is almost always suboptimal in the sense that it fails to remove all the redundancy from the source.

This residue redundancy makes it possible for the decoder to detect and correct channel errors, even in the absence of channel code.

Consider a Markov source sequence  $\{X_i\}$  compressed by Huffman code that only approaches the self-entropy  $H(X_i)$ . The residue redundancy  $H(X_{i+1}|X_i) = H(X_i, X_{i+1}) - H(X_i)$  can be use to combat channel noise.

MAP decoding is to estimate the channel input that maximizes the *a posterior probability* of the channel output. In other words, the decoder examines all the possible channel input sequences and finds the one with the maximal a posterior probability.

ICC'04

McMaster University

X. Wu

Page 1

Page 3

# Markov Sequence Coded by a VLC

- VLC codebook:  $C = \{c_1, c_2, ..., c_N\}.$
- first order Markov source with conditional probabilities  $P(c_j|c_k)$ ,  $1 \le j, k \le N$ .
- for an arbitrary sequence  $\mathbf{x} = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_I \in C^I$ , we have:

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = P(x_1) \prod_{i=2}^{I} P(x_i | x_{i-1}).$$
(2)

• The Markov sequence is coded by VLC C.

#### McMaster University

Markov Sequence Sent through the EEC

- the Markov sequence  $\mathbf{x} = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_I \in C^I$  is sent through the EEC.
- channel output:  $\mathbf{y} = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_M \in \{0, 1, \$\}^M$ , where M equals the number of bits of the VLC-coded input sequence.
- a unique parsing of **v** exists s.t. the parsed *i*-th word is the output corresponding to the *i*-th codeword in the input sequence:

 $y_{m_0+1}..y_{m_1}, y_{m_1+1}..y_{m_2}, ..., y_{m_{I-1}+1}..y_{m_I},$ (3)

where  $m_0 = 0$  and  $m_i - m_{i-1} = |x_i|$  for all  $1 \le i \le I$ . Let subsequence  $y_{m_{i-1}+1}...y_{m_i}$  be  $y(m_{i-1}, m_i]$ , then

$$P_e(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{I} P_e(y(m_{i-1}, m_i)|x_i).$$
(4)



Bayes' Theorem:

$$P(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{x})P_e(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}{P(\mathbf{y})}.$$

Since  $P(\mathbf{y})$  is fixed

$$P(\mathbf{x})P_{e}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = P(x_{1})P_{e}(y(m_{0}, m_{1}]|x_{1}) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{I} P(x_{i}|x_{i-1})P_{e}(y(m_{i-1}, m_{i}]|x_{i})$$

**Optimization Problem:** 

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \underset{\mathbf{x}\in C^*, |\mathbf{x}|=|\mathbf{y}|}{\arg\max} \{ (\log P(x_1) + \log P_e(y(m_0, m_1]|x_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{I} (\log P(x_i|x_{i-1}) + \log P_e(y(m_{i-1}, m_i]|x_i)) \}.$$

McMaster University

X. Wu

# **Objective of MAP Decoding**

• given an output ternary sequence produced by the EEC channel,

 $\mathbf{y} = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_M \in \{0, 1, \$\}^M$ 

infer the channel input sequence

$$\mathbf{x} = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_I \in C^I$$

such that  $|\mathbf{x}| = M$  and the a posteriori probability  $P(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})$  is maximized.

Page 7

# **Graph Representation**

- weighted directed acyclic graph G with NM + 1 vertices,  $M = |\mathbf{y}|.$
- a unique starting node s,
- other vertices grouped into M layers.
- each layer corresponds to a bit location in the received sequence у.
- the nodes at the *M*-th (last) layer are so-called final nodes. Denote by F the set of all final nodes.
- $n_i^m$  labels the *i*-th node at layer  $m, 1 \le i \le N$ , which corresponds to codeword  $c_i$  parsed out of **v** at the  $m^{th}$  bit of **v**.
- From  $n_i^m$  to  $n_i^{m+|c_i|}$ ,  $1 \le m \le M |c_i|$ ,  $1 \le i, j \le N$ , there is an edge corresponding to decoding  $y(m, m + |c_i|]$  as codeword  $c_i$ ,

#### Page 8

Page 9

given that the previously decoded codeword is  $c_j$ .

- The weight of this edge is  $\log P(c_i|c_j) + \log P_e(y(m, m + |c_i|]|c_i)$ .
- Generally, for a node  $n_i^m$ , there are N incoming edges, one from each of the N nodes on layer  $m - |c_i|$ ; there are N outgoing edges emitted from  $n_i^m$ , one to each of the nodes  $n_j^{m+|c_j|}$ ,  $1 \le j \le N$ .
- Any input sequence x of |y| bits, can be mapped to a distinct path from s to F such that the weight of the path equals the value of the objective function in x. Moreover, this mapping is one-to-one.
- The problem of MAP decoding is thus converted to finding the single-source longest path in the weighted directed acyclic graph G, from s to F.



ICC'04	X. Wu	ICC'04	X. Wu
McMaster University	Page 10	McMaster University	Page 11
Computing the Longest Path in G			
• Let $\omega(m, i)$ be the weight of the longest path from s t $n_i^m$ , then	o the node	Dynamic Programming solution	
$\omega(m,i) = \max_{1 \le j \le N} \{ \omega(m- c_i ,j) + \log P(c_i c_j) + $		• At each stage $m, 1 \le m \le M$ , weights $\omega(m, i)$ are computed for $1 \le i \le N$ , using (5).	
$\log P_e(y(m -  c_i , m]   c_i)\}$ for all $1 \le i \le N$ and $ c_i  \le m \le M$ , • with initial values	(5)	• $\log P(c_i c_j)$ and $\log P_e(y(m- c_i ,m] c_i)$ in (5) can be precomputed and stored in look-up tables so that they will be available to DP process in $O(1)$ time.	
$\label{eq:ci} \begin{split} \omega( c_i ,i) &= \log P(c_i) + \log P_e(y(0, c_i ] c_i) \\ \text{and } \omega(m,i) &= -\infty \text{ if } m <  c_i ,  1 \leq i \leq N. \end{split}$ $\bullet$ The MAP decoding is determined by	(6)	• The search in (5) takes $O(N)$ time for fixed $m$ and $i$ . Each stage is completed in $O(N^2)$ time and all the $M$ stages in $O(N^2M)$ time. The step of (7) clearly takes $O(N)$ time. Therefore, the time complexity of this algorithm is $O(N^2M)$ .	

#### McMaster University

#### Page 12

## **Complexity Reduction by Matrix Search**

• Organize the computations in a different way: at each stage m compute the weights  $\omega(m + |c_i|, i)$  for all  $i, 1 \le i \le N$ :

$$\omega(m + |c_i|, i) = \max_{1 \le j \le N} \{\omega(m, j) + \log P(c_i|c_j) + \log P_e(y(m, m + |c_i|]|c_i)\},$$
(8)

for all  $1 \le i \le N$  and  $1 \le m \le M - |c_i|$ .

• For each  $1 \le m \le M - \max_{i,1 \le i \le N} |c_i|$ , consider the matrix  $G_m$ of dimension  $N \times N$ , with elements  $G_m(i, j)$ 

> $G_m(i,j) = \omega(m,j) + \log P(c_i|c_j) +$  $\log P_e(u(m, m + |c_i|]|c_i).$ (9)

# X Wu ICC'04 X W11 ICC'04 McMaster University

#### Total monotonicity

• The matrix  $G_m$  is said to be totally monotone with respect to row maxima if the following relation holds:

$$G_m(i,j) \le G_m(i,j') \Rightarrow G_m(i',j) \le G_m(i',j'),$$
  
 $i < i', j < j'.$  (11)

• If all the matrices  $G_m$  are totally monotone, then the MAP decoding problem can be solved in O(NM) time.

• Then relation (8) is equivalent to

$$\omega(m+|c_i|,i) = \max_{1 \le j \le N} G_m(i,j).$$

$$\tag{10}$$

- Computing all  $\omega(m + |c_i|, i)$  for given m and all  $1 \le i \le N$ , is equivalent to finding all row maxima of the matrix  $G_m$ .
- Straightforward solution:  $O(N^2)$  time.
- If the matrix  $G_m$  is so-called totally monotone then the problem of row maxima can be solved in O(N) time by a fast matrix search technique introduced by Aggarwal et al. in 1987 (Algorithm SMAWK).

21. 114	100 01	24. Hu
Page 14	McMaster University	Page 15
	Algorithm SMAWK	

- If a  $k \times n$  matrix A with k < n, is totally monotone then the column index j(i) corresponding to the maximum entry of row i, increases with i.
- If the maxima of all even rows are known, then the maxima of remaining odd rows can be computed in O(n) time since for each odd row 2i + 1 the search is restricted to the interval between j(2i) and j(2i+2) and  $\sum_{1 \le i \le k/2} (j(2i+2) - j(2i)) = O(n)$ .
- The elegant technique introduced by Aggarwal et al. in 1987 (SMAWK) can delete n - k columns containing no row maxima of a  $k \times n$  totally monotone matrix with k < n, in O(n) time.
- The size of the matrix search problem can be reduced from  $k \times n$ to  $k \times k$  in O(n) time. Then the  $k \times k$  problem is reduced to  $k/2 \times k/2$  in O(k) time. The size of the new problem is further

implies T(k) = O(k).

reduced to  $k/4 \times k/4$  in O(k/2) time and so on.

• Let T(k) be the time for solving the  $k \times k$  problem and ck be the cost of the size reduction from  $k \times k$  to  $k/2 \times k/2$ , then the following recurrence holds: T(k) = T(k/2) + ck, which clearly

• The solution of the  $k \times n$  problem is obtained in O(n) time.

#### Page 16

# Condition for total monotonicity

A sufficient condition for total monotonicity) is the Monge condition:

$$G_m(i,j') + G_m(i',j) \le G_m(i',j') + G_m(i,j),$$
  

$$i < i', j < j'.$$
(12)

which is equivalent to

$$\log P(c_i|c_{j'}) + \log P(c_{i'}|c_j) \le \log P(c_{i'}|c_{j'}) + \log P(c_i|c_j), \quad i < i', j < j'.$$
(13)

This condition does not depend either on the channel statistics or on the output sequence, but only on the source statistics. Therefore, the decoder can check if the condition holds before deciding whether to use the fast matrix search algorithm or the standard dynamic programming algorithm for MAP decoding.

Checking the Monge condition takes only  $O(N^2)$  time. Indeed, in



#### McMaster University

#### Page 20

### Markov Sources Satisfying the Monge Condition

Assume that the codewords  $c_i$  are the output of a scalar quantizer applied to a continuous Markov source.

Monge condition (13) is equivalent to

$$P(c_i|c_{j'})P(c_{i'}|c_j) \le P(c_{i'}|c_{j'})P(c_i|c_j)$$
  
$$i < i', j < j'.$$
 (15)

Multiplying both sides by  $P(c_j)P(c_{j'})$ , we have

$$P(c_{j'}, c_i)P(c_j, c_{i'}) \le P(c_{j'}, c_{i'})P(c_j, c_i)$$
  
$$i < i', j < j'.$$
 (16)

For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq N$ , let  $S_i$  denote the quantization cell (interval) represented by the codeword  $c_i$ . Assume that for all i < i' we have u < v for all  $u \in S_i$  and all  $v \in S_{i'}$ .

# X. Wu X. Wu ICC'04 ICC'04 McMaster University Page 22 McMaster University Page 23 MAP Decoding with Length Constraint • Assume that the number K of symbols of the input Markov sequence is known (transmitted reliably as side information). for any real values u < u' and v < v'. • The objective of MAP decoding with length constraint is to find If the second partial derivative $\partial^2 (\log f) / \partial u \partial v$ exists, then (20) holds the Markov sequence $\mathbf{x}$ of exactly K symbols, of maximal a iff $\partial^2 (\log f) / \partial u \partial v \ge 0$ [Burkard et al. 1996]. posterior probability $P(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})$ . Clearly $\partial^2(\log f)/\partial u \partial v \geq 0$ holds when the joint pdf $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ is • The problem is equivalent to the maximum-weight K-link path Gaussian. in the graph G. • Dynamic programming solution: $O(N^2M^2)$ time complexity [Park, Miller'98].

Relation (16) is equivalent to

$$\int_{S_{j'}} \int_{S_i} f(v', u) du dv' \int_{S_j} \int_{S_{i'}} f(v, u') du' dv \leq \\
\int_{S_{j'}} \int_{S_{i'}} f(v', u') du' dv' \int_{S_j} \int_{S_i} f(v, u) du dv,$$
(17)

further equivalent to

$$\begin{split} \int_{S_{j'}} \int_{S_i} \int_{S_j} \int_{S_{i'}} f(v', u) f(v, u') du' dv du dv' \leq \\ \int_{S_{j'}} \int_{S_i} \int_{S_j} \int_{S_{i'}} f(v', u') f(v, u) du' dv du dv'. \end{split}$$
(18)

A sufficient condition for (18)

$$f(v', u)f(v, u') \le f(v', u')f(v, u),$$
(19)

or equivalently

$$\log f(v', u) + \log f(v, u') \le$$
  
$$\log f(v', u') + \log f(v, u),$$
(20)

#### Page 24

# Technique Based on Parameterized Search

- For any real number τ, define a new weighted directed acyclic graph G(τ) that is derived from the same sets of nodes and edges as G. The weight of an edge e in G(τ) is the sum of the weight of e in G and τ.
- The following results were proved in [Aggarwal, Schieber, and Tokuyama'94].
- Lemma 1: If for some real  $\tau$ , the maximum-weight path in  $G(\tau)$  has k edges, then this path is the maximum-weight k-link path in G.
- Lemma 2: Denote by k(τ) the number of edges in the maximum-weight path in G(τ). Then k(τ) is non-decreasing as τ increases.

# Length-constrained MAP Decoding

McMaster University

- Find the maximum-weight path in  $G(\tau)$  in conjunction with a binary search on  $\tau$  until  $k(\tau) = K$ .
- No guarantee that a real value  $\tau$  exists to satisfy  $k(\tau) = K$ . But in this case the algorithm will converge quickly to such a  $\tau$  that  $k(\tau) = K + \alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is an integer whose absolute value is very small.
- To reduce the computational complexity, we limit the number of iterations in the binary search to be L, then the overall time complexity is  $O(LMN^2)$ .

i.e., the same condition as for MAP decoding without length

ICC'04 X. Wu	ICC'04 X. Wu	
McMaster University Page 26	McMaster University Page 27	
Complexity Reduction by Matrix Search		
The fast matrix search technique can be applied to find the longest path in $G(\tau)$ too.	time leading to an $O(LMN)$ time algorithm for length-constrained	
The correspondent of matrix $G_m$ is now the matrix $G_{m,\tau}$ :	MAP decoding.	
$G_{m,\tau}(i,j) = \omega_{\tau}(m,j) + \log P(c_i c_j) +$	The Monge condition $(22)$ is equivalent to	
$\log P_e(y(m, m +  c_i ] c_i) + \tau, $ (21)	$\log P(c_i c_{j'}) + \log P(c_{i'} c_j) \le$	
where $\omega_{\tau}(m, j)$ denotes the weight of the longest path from s to the	$\log P(c_{i'} c_{j'}) + \log P(c_i c_j)$	
node $n_j^m$ in $G(\tau)$ .	$i < i', j < j', \tag{23}$	

If the Monge condition:

$$G_{m,\tau}(i,j') + G_{m,\tau}(i',j) \le G_{m,\tau}(i',j') + G_{m,\tau}(i,j),$$
  
$$i < i', j < j',$$
 (22)

holds for all m, then the longest path in  $G\tau$  can be found in O(NM)

constraint.

McMaster V	University
------------	------------

ICC'04

McMaster University

**Experimental Results** 

AcMaster University	Page 28	McMaster University	Page 29
Experimental Results		Experiment Configuration	
<ul> <li>Measurement</li> <li>Symbol-by-symbol difference (PSNR)</li> </ul>		• A zero-mean, unit-variance, first-order of correlation coeficient 0.9;	Gaussian-Markov process
– Alignment with minimum Edit distance		• Uniform scalar quantizer with 9 code of	cells;
- Example I : 121020010-2		• Sequences of different lengths $K = 50$ , source model;	100,500 generated by the
$\hat{I}$ : -2110001022 $\tilde{I}$ : dssi-		• Variable length encoded at average rat sample;	e of about 3 bits per
$- \hat{\mathbf{I}}$ is adjusted to $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} = \cdots s_i s_d s_j \cdots;$		• Binary symmetric channel of various c	rossover probabilities.
* $s_i$ and $s_j$ agree with <b>I</b> symbol-by-symbol;		• Averages of 1000 simulation;	
* $s_d$ differs from <b>I</b> in all of its symbols.		• Comparison algorithms	
• Mean error propagation length $\bar{e}_l$ ;		– M. Park and D. J. Miller - Approxim	mate algorithm in [7];
• Number of error propagation $e_n$ .		– Z. Wang and X. Wu - MAP without	t length constraint in [11].
CC'04	X. Wu	ICC'04	X. Wu
AcMaster University	Page 30	McMaster University	Page 31
		Probability of Finding the Optimal S	olution



# Source sequence Inverse Quantizer Estimated Reconstructed Indexes Source

Indexes

Channel model used in the experiment

Quantizer

VLC

Encoder

Bit

Markov

BSC

MAP

Decoder

Received bit

Sequence

